

---

# Supplementary Material: Extracting Certainty from Uncertainty: Transductive Pairwise Classification from Pairwise Similarities

---

**Tianbao Yang<sup>†</sup>, Rong Jin<sup>‡‡</sup>**

<sup>†</sup>The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242

<sup>‡</sup>Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824

<sup>‡‡</sup>Alibaba Group, Hangzhou 311121, China

tianbao-yang@uiowa.edu, rongjin@msu.edu

## 1 Proof of Theorem 1

We first prove Theorem 1 regarding the perfect recovery of the sub-matrix  $\widehat{Z}$ , which is essentially a Corollary of the following Theorem for matrix completion.

**Corollary 1.** (Theorem 1.1 [2]) *Let  $M$  be an  $n_1 \times n_2$  matrix of rank  $r$  with singular value decomposition  $U\Sigma V^\top$ . Without loss of generality, impose the conventions  $n_1 \leq n_2$ ,  $U$  is  $n_1 \times r$ , and  $V$  is  $n_2 \times r$ . Assume that (i) The row and column spaces have coherences bounded above by some positive  $\mu_0$ , and (ii) the matrix  $UV$  has a maximum entry bounded by  $\mu_1\sqrt{r/(n_1n_2)}$  in absolute value for some positive  $\mu_1$ . Suppose  $N$  entries of  $M$  are observed with locations sampled uniformly at random denoted by  $\Sigma$ . Then if*

$$N \geq 32 \max\{\mu_1^2, \mu_0\} r(n_1 + n_2) \beta \log^2(2n_2)$$

for some  $\beta > 1$ , the minimizer to the problem

$$\min_{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}} \|X\|_{tr} \quad s. t. \quad X_{i,j} = M_{i,j}, \quad \forall (i,j) \in \Sigma$$

is unique and equal to  $M$  with probability at least  $1 - 6 \log(n_2)(n_1 + n_2)^{2-2\beta} - n_2^{2-2\beta^{1/2}}$

*Proof.* of Theorem 1 Let  $m_k$  denote the number of examples in  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_m$  that belongs to the  $k$ -th class.

Since  $\widehat{Z} = \sum_{k=1}^r m_k \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}_k}{\sqrt{m_k}} \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}_k^\top}{\sqrt{m_k}}$  is an eigen-decomposition of  $\widehat{Z}$ , it is easy to verify that the coherence measure  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$  is given by

$$\mu_0 = \frac{1}{r \min_{1 \leq k \leq r} m_k/m}, \quad \mu_1^2 = \frac{1}{r \min_{1 \leq k \leq r} (m_k/m)^2}$$

Using the Chernoff bound, we have

$$\Pr(m_k < (1 - \epsilon)mp_k) < \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2 mp_k}{2}\right)$$

By setting  $\epsilon = 1/2$ , with a probability at least  $1 - \sum_{i=1}^r \exp(-mp_i/8)$ , we have

$$m_k/m \geq p_k/2, \quad k = 1, \dots, r$$

and therefore

$$\max(\mu_0, \mu_1^2) \leq \frac{2}{r \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} p_i^2}$$

Then using Corollary 1 with  $\beta = 4$ , we have, with a probability at least  $1 - 6(2m)^{-6} \log m - m^{-2}$ , that the solution to (2) in the paper is unique and equal to  $\hat{Z}$  if

$$|\Sigma| \geq 128\mu_1^2 r m \log^2(2m) \quad (1)$$

We complete the proof by using the union bound and  $6(2m)^{-6} \log m \leq m^{-2}$ .  $\square$

## 2 Proof of Theorem 2

The foundation of the proof of Theorem 2 and of Theorem 3 is the following Corollary that quantifies how large  $m$  is in order to ensure the sub-matrix  $\hat{U}_s \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times s}$  has a full column rank.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $U_s$  be an  $n \times s$  matrix with orthonormal columns with a coherence measure  $\mu_s$ . Let  $\hat{U}_s$  be a  $m \times s$  matrix with rows uniformly sampled from the rows of  $U_s$ . If  $m \geq \frac{2\mu_s}{(1-\epsilon)^2} s \log\left(\frac{s}{\delta}\right)$ , then with a probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , the matrix  $\hat{U}_s$  has full column rank and satisfies*

$$\|(\hat{U}_s^\top)^\dagger\|_2^2 \leq \frac{n}{\epsilon \ell}$$

where  $M^\dagger$  denotes the pseudo inverse of a matrix  $M$ .

The above Corollary follows immediately from Lemma 1 in [1].

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2. Let us review the two steps of the proposed algorithm for estimating the ideal matrix  $Z = \sum_{k=1}^r \mathbf{g}_k \mathbf{g}_k^\top$ . The first step is to recover the sub-matrix  $\hat{Z} = \sum_{k=1}^r \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k^\top$  by matrix completion, for which we assume the recovery is perfect due to Theorem 1. Because we assume the column space of  $Z$  lies in the subspace spanned by columns of  $U_s$ , therefore we can write  $\mathbf{g}_k$  and  $Z$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_k &= U_s \mathbf{a}_k, k \in [r] \\ Z &= U_s \left( \sum_{k=1}^r \mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{a}_k^\top \right) U_s^\top \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Thus, the second step is to estimate  $\sum_{k=1}^r \mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{a}_k^\top$ . The underlying logic is to estimate  $\mathbf{a}_k$  by

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}_k = \arg \min_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^s} \|\hat{\mathbf{g}}_k - \hat{U}_s \mathbf{a}\|_2^2 = (\hat{U}_s^\top \hat{U}_s)^\dagger \hat{U}_s^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k, \quad k \in [r] \quad (3)$$

Then, the ideal matrix  $Z$  can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} Z' &= U_s \left( \sum_{k=1}^r \hat{\mathbf{a}}_k \hat{\mathbf{a}}_k^\top \right) U_s^\top \\ &= U_s (\hat{U}_s^\top \hat{U}_s)^\dagger \hat{U}_s^\top \left( \sum_{k=1}^r \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k \hat{\mathbf{g}}_k^\top \right) \hat{U}_s (\hat{U}_s^\top \hat{U}_s)^\dagger U_s^\top = U_s (\hat{U}_s^\top \hat{U}_s)^\dagger \hat{U}_s^\top \hat{Z} \hat{U}_s (\hat{U}_s^\top \hat{U}_s)^\dagger U_s^\top \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

As a result, to prove  $Z' = Z$  amounts to showing  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_k = \mathbf{a}_k, k \in [r]$ . To this end, we focus on the optimization problems in (3). Since  $\hat{Z}$  is a perfect recovery of a sub-matrix in  $Z$  under the conditions in Theorem 1, it is safe to assume that  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is equal to the entries in  $\mathbf{g}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  that corresponds to the sampled examples. It indicates that  $\mathbf{a}_k, k \in [r]$  are solutions to the problems in (3) due to  $\mathbf{g}_k = U_s \mathbf{a}_k$ . Therefore, in order to show  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_k = \mathbf{a}_k, k \in [r]$ , it is equivalent to show that  $\mathbf{a}_k, k \in [r]$  are the unique minimizers of problems (3). It is sufficient to show the optimization problems in (3) are strictly convex, which follows immediately from Corollary 2 since it implies that  $\hat{U}_s^\top \hat{U}_s$  is a full rank PSD matrix with a high probability. Then using the union bound, we can complete the proof.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 3

To prove Theorem 3, we first define the following matrix  $Z_*$  :

$$Z_* = U_s \left( \sum_{k=1}^r \mathbf{a}_k^* \mathbf{a}_k^{*\top} \right) U_s^\top$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}_k^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^s} \|\mathbf{g}_k - U_s \mathbf{a}\|_2^2 = (U_s^\top U_s)^{-1} U_s^\top \mathbf{g}_k = U_s^\top \mathbf{g}_k$$

We introduce a matrix  $E \in \{0, 1\}^{n \times m}$  with columns selected from the identity matrix corresponding to the indices of  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_m$  in  $\mathcal{D}_n$ . Then, we can write  $\widehat{U}_s = E^\top U_s$ ,  $\widehat{\mathbf{g}}_k = E^\top \mathbf{g}_k$ , and have the solution to (3) written as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{a}}_k &= (\widehat{U}_s^\top \widehat{U}_s)^{-1} \widehat{U}_s^\top \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_k = ([E^\top U_s]^\top E^\top U_s)^{-1} [E^\top U_s]^\top E^\top \mathbf{g}_k \\ &= (U_s^\top E E^\top U_s)^{-1} U_s^\top E E^\top \mathbf{g}_k \end{aligned}$$

where we use inverse in place of pseudo inverse because we assume  $\widehat{U}_s^\top \widehat{U}_s$  is a full rank matrix. To proceed, we write  $\mathbf{g}_k$  as

$$\mathbf{g}_k = \mathbf{g}_k^\perp + \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel$$

where  $\mathbf{g}_k^\parallel = U_s U_s^\top \mathbf{g}_k$  is the projection of  $\mathbf{g}_k$  into the subspace spanned by  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_s$  and  $\mathbf{g}_k^\perp = \mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{a}}_k &= (U_s^\top E E^\top U_s)^{-1} U_s^\top E E^\top U_s U_s^\top \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel + (U_s^\top E E^\top U_s)^{-1} U_s^\top E E^\top \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \\ &= \mathbf{a}_k^* + (U_s^\top E E^\top U_s)^{-1} U_s^\top E E^\top \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \\ &= \mathbf{a}_k^* + (U_s^\top E)^\dagger E^\top \mathbf{g}_k^\perp = \mathbf{a}_k^* + (\widehat{U}_s^\top)^\dagger E^\top \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\widehat{A} = (\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{a}}_r) \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times r}$  and  $A_* = (\mathbf{a}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times r}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{A} - A_*\|_F &= \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^r \|\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_k - \mathbf{a}_k^*\|^2} \leq \sqrt{\|(\widehat{U}_s^\top)^\dagger\|_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^r \|\mathbf{g}_k^\perp\|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\|(\widehat{U}_s^\top)^\dagger\|_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^r \|\mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel\|_2^2} = \sqrt{\|(\widehat{U}_s^\top)^\dagger\|_2^2 \text{tr} \left( \sum_{k=1}^r (\mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel) (\mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel)^\top \right)} \end{aligned}$$

Note that we can also write  $Z_* = \sum_{k=1}^r \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel \mathbf{g}_k^{\parallel \top}$ , then we have

$$Z - Z_* = \sum_{k=1}^r (\mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel) (\mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel)^\top + (\mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel) \mathbf{g}_k^{\parallel \top} + \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel (\mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel)^\top$$

Due to that  $\mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel$  is perpendicular to  $\mathbf{g}_k^\parallel$ , we have

$$\text{tr} \left( \sum_{k=1}^r (\mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel) (\mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{g}_k^\parallel)^\top \right) = \text{tr}(Z - Z_*)$$

As a result,

$$\|\widehat{A} - A_*\|_F \leq \sqrt{\|(\widehat{U}_s^\top)^\dagger\|_2^2 \text{tr}(Z - Z_*)}$$

Then we can bound  $\|Z' - Z_*\|_F$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z' - Z_*\|_F &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^s U_s (\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_i \widehat{\mathbf{a}}_i^\top - \mathbf{a}_i^* [\mathbf{a}_i^*]^\top) U_s^\top \right\|_F = \|\widehat{A} \widehat{A}^\top - A_* A_*^\top\|_F \\ &\leq 2 \|\widehat{A} - A_*\|_F \|A_*\|_F + \|\widehat{A} - A_*\|_F^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sqrt{\|(\widehat{U}_s^\top)^\dagger\|_2^2 \text{tr}(Z - Z_*) \text{tr}(Z_*)} + \|(\widehat{U}_s^\top)^\dagger\|_2^2 \text{tr}(Z - Z_*) \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from the fact  $\|A_*\|_F^2 \leq \text{tr}(Z_*)$ . We can further bound  $\text{tr}(Z - Z_*)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(Z - Z_*) &= \text{tr}(Z - U_s U_s^\top Z U_s U_s^\top) = \text{tr}(Z(I - U_s U_s^\top)) = \text{tr} \left( \sum_{k=1}^r (I - P_{U_s}) \mathbf{g}_k \mathbf{g}_k^\top \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r \|(I - P_{U_s}) \mathbf{g}_k\|_2^2 = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof by using  $\|Z' - Z\|_F \leq \|Z' - Z_*\|_F + \|Z_* - Z\|_F$ ,  $\|Z - Z_*\|_F \leq \text{tr}(Z - Z_*)$ ,  $\text{tr}(Z_*) \leq \text{tr}(Z) = n$ , and the result in Corollary 2.

## References

- [1] A. Gittens. The spectral norm errors of the naive nystrom extension. *CoRR*, abs/1110.5305, 2011.
- [2] B. Recht. A simpler approach to matrix completion. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12:3413–3430, 2011.