

22C:296 Seminar on Randomization

Scribe: Shuquan Hou

September 3, 2003

We continue to discuss the basic idea behind the probabilistic method. In the last class, we showed that if $\binom{n}{k} \cdot 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}} < 1$ then $R(k, k) > n$.

Two remarks about the proof:

1. The proof uses the *union bound*

$$\text{Prob}\left[\bigcup_i A_i\right] \leq \sum_i \text{Prob}[A_i]$$

Here each A_i is an event and we make no assumptions about the independence of these events.

2. A simple counting argument also shows the same result. The number of 2-color edge-coloring's of K_n is $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$. The number of 2-color edge-coloring's of K_n containing a monochromatic K_k is bounded above by $\binom{n}{k} \cdot 2^{\binom{n}{2}-\binom{k}{2}} \cdot 2$. Therefore, if n and k are natural numbers such that $\binom{n}{k} \cdot 2^{\binom{n}{2}-\binom{k}{2}} \cdot 2 < 2^{\binom{n}{2}}$ then there exists a 2-color edge-coloring of K_n which does not contain a monochromatic K_k . After simplifying $\binom{n}{k} \cdot 2^{\binom{n}{2}-\binom{k}{2}} \cdot 2 < 2^{\binom{n}{2}}$, we get $\binom{n}{k} \cdot 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}} < 1$.

Corollary 1 $R(k, k) > 2^{\frac{k}{2}}$.

Proof: This is merely algebra. One approach is to simply find n (as a function of k) satisfying $\binom{n}{k} \cdot 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}} < 1$. To do this use Stirling's approximation for $\binom{n}{k}$.

Alternately, substitute $n = \lfloor 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \rfloor$ in the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{k} \cdot 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}} &= \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-(k-1))}{k!} \cdot 2 \cdot 2^{-\frac{k^2}{2}} \cdot 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{n^k}{k!} \cdot \frac{2^{1+\frac{k}{2}}}{2^{\frac{k^2}{2}}} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{1+\frac{k}{2}}}{k!} \\ &< 1 \text{ (for } k \geq 3) \end{aligned}$$

□

Questions: Since $R(k, k) > 2^{\frac{k}{2}}$, if we let $n = 2^{k/2}$, then there is a 2-color edge-coloring of K_n that does not contain a monochromatic K_k . In other words, there is a 2-color edge-coloring of K_n that does not contain a monochromatic $K_{2 \log n}$. For example, there is a 2-color edge coloring of K_{1024} that doesn't contain a monochromatic K_{20} . The algorithmic question is how can we efficiently find such a coloring?

Example 2: Tournaments

Definition 2 A tournament is an orientation of the edges of a complete graph. If (x, y) is an edge in the tournament, then we say that x "beat" y .

Definition 3 A tournament is said to have a property S_k , if for every set of k players, there is a player who has beaten them all.

Questions:

1. For every k , is there a finite n such that there is a tournament on n vertices with property S_k ?
2. If so, what is the smallest n for which this is true?

Schülte asked this in 1963 and Erdős provided an easy answer using the probabilistic method.

Theorem 4 If $\binom{n}{k} \cdot (1 - 2^{-k})^{n-k} < 1$, then there is a tournament on n vertices with property S_k .

Proof: Suppose $\binom{n}{k} \cdot (1 - 2^{-k})^{n-k} < 1$, construct random orientation of K_n by independently orienting each edge in one of two directions with equal probability. We get probability space $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$ elements with each element has a probability of $\frac{1}{2^{\binom{n}{2}}}$.

Fix a set of k vertices, call this set K . Let A_k denote the event that there is no vertex that beats every vertex in K . Let v denote a vertex outside of K .

What is $\text{Prob}[A_k]$?

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Prob}[v \text{ doesn't beat everyone in } K] &= 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \\
 \text{Prob}[A_k] &= \text{Prob}[\wedge_p v \text{ doesn't beat everyone in } K] \\
 &= \prod_{v \notin K} \text{Prob}[v \text{ doesn't beat everyone in } K] \\
 &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)^{n-k} \text{ (because of independent events)}
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to show that $\text{Prob}[\text{for any set of } k \text{ vertices, there exists a vertex beats them all}] > 0$, we want to show the following complementary claim $\text{Prob}[\text{there is a set of } k \text{ vertices, such that no vertex beats everyone in the set}] < 1$ is true.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Prob}[\textit{there is a set of } k \textit{ vertices, such that no vertex beats them all}] &= \text{Prob}[\vee A_k] \\
&\leq \sum_{K:|K|=k} \text{Prob}[A_k] \\
&= \binom{n}{k} \cdot (1 - 2^{-k})^{n-k} \\
&< 1
\end{aligned}$$

Since the complementary claim is true, then we know $\text{Prob}[\textit{for any set of } k \textit{ vertices, there exists a vertex beats them all}] > 0$ is true. \square