

## 1 Game-theoretic Modeling of Traffic Congestion

**Vaccination (Inoculation) games[1]:** Let us consider the following:  $V = (0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$  is a set of players.  $G=(V,E)$  is the contact network. Each player  $i$  makes a strategy choice  $a_i \in [0,1]$ . Interpret  $a_i$  as the probability that  $i$  chooses to get inoculated. Let  $\hat{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in [0,1]^n$  denote the strategy choice of all players.

Strategy choice of all individuals

Let  $G_{\hat{a}}$  denote the random subgraph of  $G$  in which a node exists with probability  $1-a_i$ .

**Attack Model:** An attacker adversely chooses a node  $i \in V$  uniformly at random and disease spreads deterministically through out the connected component in  $G_{\hat{a}}$  containing  $i$ .

**Cost for Player  $i$ :**

$$Cost(i) = a_i(C) + (1 - a_i) * L * P_i(\hat{a})$$

Example:

Pure Strategy Choice = (0,0,1,1,0,0)

$E=(0,1), (0,3), (1,2), (3,2), (2,4), (2,5)$

Costs

$0 \Rightarrow L * 1/3$

$1 \Rightarrow L * 1/3$

$2 \Rightarrow C$

$3 \Rightarrow C$

$4 \Rightarrow L * 1/3$

$5 \Rightarrow L * 1/3$

**Social Cost:**

$$\sum_{i \in V} cost(i)$$

For a pure strategy choice  $\hat{a} \in [0,1]^n$ , the social cost is:

$$C * (\text{of individuals for which } a_i = 1) + L/n \sum_{j=1}^t k_j^2$$

There are  $t$  connected components in  $G_{\hat{a}}$ , with component  $j$  having size  $k_j$ .

## 2 Characterization of Nash Equilibrium

**Theorem 1** A strategy choice  $\hat{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  is a Nash Equilibrium, iff:

$\forall i$  such that  $a_i = 1, P_i(\hat{a}) \geq c/L$

$\forall i$  such that  $a_i = 0, P_i(\hat{a}) \leq c/L$

$\forall i$  such that  $0 < a_i < 1, P_i(\hat{a}) = c/L$

every connected component in  $G_{\hat{a}}$  has size at most  $Cn/L$

if we add any node  $i$  to  $G_{\hat{a}}$ , the connected component containing  $i$  has size at least  $Cn/L$

Consider player  $i \in V$  with  $a_i = 1 \Rightarrow \text{cost}(i) = C$ .

Consider what happens if  $i$  chooses  $a_i = 0$ .

Then  $\text{cost}(i) = LP_i(\hat{a})$

$LP_i(\hat{a}) \geq C \Rightarrow P_i(\hat{a}) \geq c/L$  unvaccinated components vs. vaccinated nodes:  $C(i)$  is the connected component of player  $i$  who chooses not to get vaccinated.

$$L * |C(i)|/n \geq C \Rightarrow |C(i)| \geq Cn/L$$

Example:

Suppose  $C/L = 1/2$ , let  $E = (0, 1), (0, 3), (1, 2), (3, 2), (2, 4), (2, 5)$

NE: player 2 gets vaccinated

**How do we compute a pure strategy Nash Equilibrium?** Given  $G=(V,E)$ ,  $L$  and  $C$ .

-Initially everyone is vaccinated.

-Repeatedly unvaccinate individuals.

Recall that the social cost is:

$$C * (\text{of individuals for which } a_i = 1) + L/n \sum_{j=1}^t k_j^2$$

(1) NP-complete

(2) Also, hard to approximate

(3) There are  $O(\log^2 n)$  approximations using multicommodity flow algorithms

**Price of Anarchy:** Consider a star graph of  $n$  nodes with node 0 at the center

$-C/L = (n-1)/n \Rightarrow Cn/L = n-1$

$-NE$ : any of the nodes gets vaccinated,  $\Rightarrow C + L(n-1)^2/n \approx C + Ln$

$-Social Optimum$ : the center node gets vaccinated  $C + L(n-1)/n \approx C + L$

James Aspnes, Kevin Chang and Aleksandr Yampolskiy. Inoculation strategies for victims of viruses and the sum-of-squares partition problem. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 72(6):1077-1093, September 2006.