

Final Exam Study Guide Open book/notes

Time: Monday May 8, 2:15 – 4:15 pm

Location: 114 MLH

Major topics (comprehensive):

- * logic (Diller, chaps. 3, 10)
 - truth analysis and models
 - proof and deduction
 - consistency and completeness
- * program proving (Diller, chap. 14)
- * Z specification
 - specification elements (Diller, chaps. 4, 16, 18)
 - Z Library (Diller, chap. 21 augmented by chaps. 3, 5, 6 & 7)
 - animation/Miranda/Zans (Diller, chap. 19)
- * algebraic specification (Gutttag/Horowitz/Musser & Horabeek/Lewi)
 - initial vs. final algebra semantics
 - consistency and sufficient completeness
 - animation/Miranda
 - errors (i.e., exceptions) and order-sorted algebras
- * statecharts (Harel/Gery & chap 2 of Day)

Final Exam Study Questions

Since the exam is comprehensive, one useful step is to review the midterm and homework problems. Of course, timed exam questions are necessarily formulated to have much briefer answers than homework problems, but the homework is topically representative. A few additional selected problems appear below.

Below is a program fragment to compute the *index* J of a minimum item of an array $A[1..N]$ of numbers — this is expressed in logic as the post-condition shown. Use the Floyd-Hoare axiomatic rules to prove that the formula

$$1 \leq J \leq K \leq N \wedge (1 \leq L \leq K \wedge A[J] \leq A[L])$$

is a loop invariant.

```

  {N ≥ 1}
  J := 1; K := 1;
  while K < N do
  begin
    K := K + 1; if A[K] < A[J] then J := K else skip
  end
  {1 ≤ J ≤ N ∧ (1 ≤ L ≤ N ∧ A[J] ≤ A[L])}

```

Problem 5.2, p. 89 of Diller.

Both bags and sequences in Z consist of sets of ordered pairs, and therefore share basic set operations. Indicate whether each of the following is *true or false*, and justify your answer.

- (a) for any sequences, $S \text{ prefix } T \Leftrightarrow S \sqsubseteq T$ (recall that the prefix relation is defined for sequences $S, T: \text{seq } X$ as: $S \text{ prefix } T \Leftrightarrow (\exists V: \text{seq } X \cdot S \wedge V = T)$),
 (b) for bags B and C , bag difference and set difference are the same, $B \ominus C = B \setminus C$.
-

When we illustrated “OK tests” to treat exceptional conditions on the Queue ADT (repeated below), a number of things changed. Compare in detail the ground term equivalence classes that result in the specification including exceptions with those obtained from the Queue specification of Guttag et al.

- Signature
 - New: \square Queue
 - ErrorQue: \square Queue
 - Add: Queue \square Int \square Queue
 - Del: Queue \square Queue
 - Frt: Queue \square Int
 - IsNew: Queue \square Boolean
 - OK: Queue \square Boolean

- OK specification
 - OK(New) = True
 - OK(ErrorQue) = False
 - OK(Add(q,i)) = OK(q) \square OK(i)

- Error-equations (this is “errors propagate” plus two additional equations)
 - Add(ErrorQue,i) = ErrorQue
 - Add(q,ErrorInt) = ErrorQue
 - Del(New) = ErrorQue
 - Del(ErrorQue) = ErrorQue
 - Frt(New) = ErrorInt
 - Frt(ErrorQue) = ErrorInt
 - IsNew(ErrorQue) = ErrorBool

- OK-equations
 - IsNew(New) = True
 - IsNew(Add(q,i)) = **if** OK(q) \square OK(i)
 then False **else** ErrorBool
 - Del(Add(q,i)) = **if** OK(q) \square OK(i)
 then if IsNew(q) **then** New **else** Add(Del(q),i)
 else ErrorQue
 - Frt(Add(q,i)) = **if** OK(q) \square OK(i)
 then if IsNew(q) **then** i **else** Frt(q)
 else ErrorInt

Queue with Errors

In class, we observed that the example traffic light statechart from Day's thesis permits the configuration where both N_S and E_W lights are simultaneously green. A revision of this specification to prevent this error is presented in the figure below by changing the condition for the transition t2 in N_S from Red to Green to $\text{en}(\text{E_W.RED})$. With this change, transition t2 is only triggered when E_W.RED was entered in the immediately preceding step. However, this "corrected" version still fails — show what the failure is, and suggest and justify a correction.

