

Congruence Closure and Extensions

Albert Oliveras
UPC, Barcelona

Joint work with Robert Nieuwenhuis

The University of Iowa
March 2005

Overview of this talk

1. Formulation of the problem
2. Union-Find
 - Abstract Union-Find
 - The Collapse rule
 - The Compose rule
 - Choosing a good ordering
3. Congruence closure (CC)
 - Abstract Congruence Closure
 - Initial transformations
 - General idea
 - Data structures and algorithm
 - Running example
 - Analysis of the algorithm
4. CC with integer offsets

Formulation of the problems

INPUT: set of ground equations E and $s=t$.

QUESTION: Is $E \models s=t$ true?

- Converting E into a convergent TRS will give us a decision procedure.
- Tiwari's Abstract Congruence Closure gives us a solution.
- **Our goal:** obtention of efficient strategies in practice.

Abstract Union-Find

- Signature $\Sigma = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$ (only constants).
- Let \succ a total ordering on constants, $c_1 \succ c_2 \succ \dots \succ c_n$.

<b style="color: green;">Orient $\frac{c = d, E}{c \rightarrow d, E}$ if $c \succ d$	<b style="color: green;">Delete $\frac{c = c, E}{E}$
<b style="color: green;">Simplify $\frac{c = d, c \rightarrow d', E}{d' = d, c \rightarrow d', E}$	
<b style="color: green;">Collapse $\frac{c \rightarrow d', c \rightarrow d, E}{c \rightarrow d', d \rightarrow d', E}$ if $d \succ d'$	
<b style="color: green;">Compose $\frac{c \rightarrow d, d \rightarrow d', E}{c \rightarrow d', d \rightarrow d', E}$	

- Any strategy will give us a convergent TRS, but, which is the most efficient one?

Abstract Union-Find(cntd.)

- **Any** strategy orienting all equations gives us a **terminating** TRS.
- Concerning **confluence**, which situations have to be avoided? (remember critical pair criterion)
- Our strategy: avoid situations where **Collapse** applies.
- Working with 4 rules instead of 5 is a reasonable way to make the implementation more efficient.

Avoiding applications of Collapse

- Which rules could transform a state in which Collapse does not apply into one in which it does?

<b style="color: green;">Orient $\frac{c = d, E}{c \rightarrow d, E}$ if $c \succ d$	<b style="color: green;">Delete $\frac{c = c, E}{E}$
<b style="color: green;">Simplify $\frac{c = d, c \rightarrow d', E}{d' = d, c \rightarrow d', E}$	
<b style="color: green;">Collapse $\frac{c \rightarrow d', c \rightarrow d, E}{c \rightarrow d', d \rightarrow d', E}$ if $d \succ d'$	
<b style="color: green;">Compose $\frac{c \rightarrow d, d \rightarrow d', E}{c \rightarrow d', d \rightarrow d', E}$	

Only **Orient** and **Compose** (assuming we never apply Collapse).

Avoiding applications of Collapse (cntd.)

- Assume we move from a state in which **Collapse** doesn't apply to one in which it does. The new state has to be of the form $\{c \rightarrow d, c \rightarrow d', E\}$ with $d \succ d'$.
- Consider the case in which $c \rightarrow d'$ has just been generated (by Orient or Compose).
 - Case 1:

$$\text{Orient } \frac{c = d', \{c \rightarrow d, E'\}}{c \rightarrow d', \{c \rightarrow d, E'\}}$$

but note that here **Simplify** also applies

$$\text{Simplify } \frac{c = d', c \rightarrow d, E'}{d = d', c \rightarrow d, E'}$$

and now **Collapse** is not applicable.

SOLUTION: Simplify has priority over Orient.

Avoiding applications of Collapse (cntd.)

- Assume we move from a state in which **Collapse** doesn't apply to one in which it does. The new state has to be of the form $\{c \rightarrow d, c \rightarrow d', E\}$ with $d > d'$.
- Consider the case in which $c \rightarrow d'$ has just been generated (by Orient or Compose).
 - Case 2:

$$\text{Compose } \frac{c \rightarrow e, e \rightarrow d', \{c \rightarrow d, E'\}}{c \rightarrow d', e \rightarrow d', \{c \rightarrow d, E'\}}$$

but note that e has to be d (why?) Thus, we have

$$\text{Compose } \frac{c \rightarrow d, d \rightarrow d', E'}{c \rightarrow d', d \rightarrow d', E'}$$

and in this new state **Collapse** does not apply.

Avoiding applications of Collapse (cntd.)

- Assume we move from a state in which **Collapse** doesn't apply to one in which it does. The new state has to be of the form $\{c \rightarrow d, c \rightarrow d', E\}$ with $d > d'$.
- The case in which $c \rightarrow d'$ has just been generated (by Orient or Compose) can be avoided by exhaustively applying **Simplify** before **Orient**.
- The case in which $c \rightarrow d$ has just been generated (by Orient or Compose) is similar.
- Using this strategy, we can get rid of **Collapse**, because it will never be applicable.

The Compose rule

- Remember: our goal is to obtain a convergent (confluent and terminating) TRS.
- Termination is ensured using any strategy.
- We have seen that if **Simplify** is exhaustively applied before **Orient**, all the intermediate states will give us confluent TRS.
- CONCLUSION: **Compose** is not necessary. So, let's forget about it (for the moment).

Choosing a good ordering

- According to the previous slides, our procedure will run the following loop until there is no unoriented equation:
 1. Pick an equation $c = d$.
 2. Apply **Simplify** exhaustively and get $c' = d'$.
 3. If c' is d' , **Delete**. Otherwise, **Orient** it giving $c' \rightarrow d'$ if $c' > d'$.
- Complexity: $O(nL)$, being n is the number of equations and L the number of possible applications of **Simplify** to an equation.
- Instead of L , we can compute the maximal number of times a constant a can be rewritten. That is, the maximum length of a path of the form $a \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_n$.
- **GOAL:** minimize the length of such a path

Choosing a good ordering (contd.)

- Given the ordering $a_1 \succ a_2 \succ \dots \succ a_n$, and the equations $\{a_1 = a_2, a_2 = a_3, \dots, a_{n-1} = a_n\}$ we can get a path of length n : $a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_n$ (worst case!).
- Improvement: choose the ordering on the fly.
- Given a simplified equation $c = d$ (c and d are normal forms with respect to the TRS defined so far), its orientation will be $c \rightarrow d$ if $|c| \leq |d|$, being $|c|$ (resp. $|d|$) the number of constants in the equivalence class of c (resp. d).
- Since for each oriented rule $c \rightarrow d$, the class of $|c|$ at least doubled its size, any path has length at most $\lg n$, being n the number of constants.
- With these ordering restrictions, the complexity of the procedure is $O(m \lg n)$, being m the number of equations and n the number of constants.

Using Compose to improve the efficiency

- Imagine we pick the equation $c_0 = d$. We first have to **Simplify** it exhaustively: $c_0 \rightarrow c_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_k$, being c_k its normal form.
- Later, we may pick another equation $c_0 = e$, and c_0 will have to be normalized using at least the previous k **Simplify** steps. Redundant work!!!
- **SOLUTION:** the first time we normalize c , we can at the same time apply **Compose** (compress the path) and get the oriented equations $c_i \rightarrow c_k$ for i in $0 \dots k - 1$.
- The next time we need to normalize c we will perform k steps in a single one, using the rule $c \rightarrow c_k$.
- This optimization, known as **path-compression**, allows one to run the procedure in time $O(m\alpha(m, n))$, where $\alpha(m, n)$ is a VERY slow-growing function.

Abstract Congruence Closure

INPUT: set of ground equations E and $s=t$.

QUESTION: Is $E \models s=t$ true?

- Equations in E and $s = t$ build over signature Σ consisting only of fixed-arity function symbols and constants.
- Rules are the ones of Abstract Union-Find plus:

Extend $\frac{s[f(c_1, \dots, c_k)] = t, E}{s[c] = t, f(c_1, \dots, c_k) \rightarrow c, E}$ if $f \in \Sigma, c \in K$	
Simplify $\frac{s[u] = t, u \rightarrow c, E}{s[c] = t, u \rightarrow c, E}$	
Superpose $\frac{f(c_1, \dots, c_k) = c, f(c_1, \dots, c_k) = d, E}{c = d, f(c_1, \dots, c_k) = c, E}$	
Collapse $\frac{f(\dots, c, \dots) \rightarrow d, c \rightarrow c', E}{f(\dots, c', \dots) \rightarrow d, c \rightarrow c', E}$	Compose $\frac{f(\dots) \rightarrow c, c \rightarrow d, E}{f(\dots) \rightarrow d, c \rightarrow d, E}$

Initial transformations

First of all, two initial transformations are performed:

1. **Curryfy** (like in the implementation of FP):

$$\frac{s[f(c_1, \dots, c_n)] = t, E}{s[\underbrace{\cdot(\cdot(\dots \cdot (f, c_1), c_2), \dots, c_n)}_{n-1 \text{ times}})] = t, E}$$

- After Curryfying: **only one binary symbol “.”** and **constants**.
- Example: Curryfying $f(a, g(b), c)$ gives $\cdot(\cdot(\cdot(f, a), \cdot(g, b)), c)$

2. **Flatten**(Extend + Simplify):

- Allows one to assume: **terms of depth ≤ 1**
- Introduces a linear number of new constants
- Example: Flattening $\{ \cdot(\cdot(\cdot(f, a), \cdot(g, b)), c) = i \}$ gives
 $\{ \cdot(f, a) \rightarrow d, \cdot(g, b) \rightarrow e, \cdot(d, e) \rightarrow h, \cdot(h, c) = i \}$

Reformulation of the problem

Now the CC problem is: $E \models a = b?$ (a, b, c, d, e cts.)
 where equations in E are of the form $\cdot(c, d) = e$ or $c = d$.

The rules to be applied are the ones of the Abstract Union-Find plus:

$\text{Superpose} \frac{\cdot(c_1, c_2) \rightarrow c, \cdot(c_1, c_2) \rightarrow d, E}{c = d, \cdot(c_1, c_2) \rightarrow c, E}$	
$\text{Collapse}_1 \frac{\cdot(c_1, c_2) \rightarrow d, c_1 \rightarrow c'_1, E}{\cdot(c'_1, c_2) \rightarrow d, c_1 \rightarrow c'_1, E}$	$\text{Collapse}_2 \frac{\cdot(c_1, c_2) \rightarrow d, c_2 \rightarrow c'_2, E}{\cdot(c_1, c'_2) \rightarrow d, c_2 \rightarrow c'_2, E}$
$\text{Compose} \frac{\cdot(c_1, c_2) \rightarrow c, c \rightarrow d, E}{\cdot(c_1, c_2) \rightarrow d, c \rightarrow d, E}$	

Ideas behind the algorithm

- Due to the flattening process each term can now be identified with a constant. The question whether $s = t$ can be reduced to the question $c_s = c_t$ for certain constants c_s, c_t .
- Therefore, our goal is to detect which new equalities between constants arise due to the function symbols.
- In the rules, these new equalities are detected by **Superpose**.
- **IDEA**: we will need a Union-Find data structure and some procedure to detect these new equalities between constants.

Congruence closure: our data structures

1. **Pending unions**: a list of pairs of cts yet to be merged.
2. **Representative** table: array indexed by constants, with for each constant c its current **representative** $rep(c)$.
3. **Class lists**: for each repres., the list of all cts in its class.
4. **Lookup table**: for each input term $\cdot(a, b)$, $Lookup(rep(a), rep(b))$ returns in constant time a constant c such that $\cdot(a, b) = c$ (\perp if there is none).
5. **Use lists**: for each representative a , the list of input equations $\cdot(b, c) = d$ such that a is $rep(b)$ or $rep(c)$ or both.

Congruence closure: our algorithm

While $Pending \neq \emptyset$ Do Notation: c' means $rep(c)$
 remove $a = b$ from $Pending$
 If $a' \neq b'$ and, wlog., $|ClassList(a')| \leq |ClassList(b')|$ Then
 For each c in $ClassList(a')$ Do
 set $rep(c)$ to b' and add c to $ClassList(b')$
 EndFor
 For each $\cdot(c, d) = e$ in $UseList(a')$ Do
 If $Lookup(c', d')$ is some f and $f' \neq e'$ Then
 add $e' = f'$ to $Pending$
 EndIf
 set $Lookup(c', d')$ to e'
 add $\cdot(c, d) = e$ to $UseList(b')$
 EndFor
 EndIf
EndWhile

Running example

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f(a) = g(b) \\ g(c) = h(f(c), g(a)) \\ b = c \\ f(c) = g(a) \\ h(d, d) = g(b) \\ g(a) = d \end{array} \right\} \Longrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{l} \cdot(f, a) = e_1 \\ \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \\ \cdot(g, c) = e_3 \\ \cdot(f, c) = e_4 \\ \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5 \\ \cdot(g, a) = e_6 \\ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \\ \cdot(h, d) = e_8 \\ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \end{array} \right] + \left[\begin{array}{l} e_1 = e_2 \\ e_3 = e_7 \\ b = c \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right]$$

And we initialize *Lookup table*:

$$\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \}$$

Running example (contd.)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f(a) = g(b) \\ g(c) = h(f(c), g(a)) \\ b = c \\ f(c) = g(a) \\ h(d, d) = g(b) \\ g(a) = d \end{array} \right\} \Longrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{l} \cdot(f, a) = e_1 \\ \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \\ \cdot(g, c) = e_3 \\ \cdot(f, c) = e_4 \\ \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5 \\ \cdot(g, a) = e_6 \\ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \\ \cdot(h, d) = e_8 \\ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \end{array} \right] + \left[\begin{array}{l} e_1 = e_2 \\ e_3 = e_7 \\ b = c \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right]$$

Similarly, initialization for *UseList* is:

$$UseList(a) = \{\cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, a) = e_6\}$$

$$UseList(b) = \{\cdot(g, b) = e_2\}$$

$$UseList(c) = \{\cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4\}$$

⋮

Running example (contd.)

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_1 = e_2 \\ e_3 = e_7 \\ b = c \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ b = \{ \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \} \\ c = \{ \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4 \} \\ d = \{ \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_4 = \{ \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5 \} \\ e_6 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \\ e_8 = \{ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉

Lookup : $\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $e_1 \rightarrow e_2$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_1 = e_2 \\ e_3 = e_7 \\ b = c \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ b = \{ \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \} \\ c = \{ \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4 \} \\ d = \{ \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_4 = \{ \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5 \} \\ e_6 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \\ e_8 = \{ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉

Lookup : $\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $e_1 \rightarrow e_2$. We have $UseList(e_1) = \emptyset$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_1 = e_2 \\ e_3 = e_7 \\ b = c \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ b = \{ \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \} \\ c = \{ \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4 \} \\ d = \{ \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_4 = \{ \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5 \} \\ e_6 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \\ e_8 = \{ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₂	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉

Lookup : $\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $e_3 \rightarrow e_7$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_3 = e_7 \\ b = c \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ b = \{ \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \} \\ c = \{ \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4 \} \\ d = \{ \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_4 = \{ \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5 \} \\ e_6 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \\ e_8 = \{ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i> ₁	<i>e</i> ₂	<i>e</i> ₃	<i>e</i> ₄	<i>e</i> ₅	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₉
<i>Representative</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i> ₂	<i>e</i> ₂	<i>e</i> ₃	<i>e</i> ₄	<i>e</i> ₅	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₉

Lookup : $\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $e_3 \rightarrow e_7$. We have $UseList(e_3) = \emptyset$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_3 = e_7 \\ b = c \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ b = \{ \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \} \\ c = \{ \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4 \} \\ d = \{ \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_4 = \{ \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5 \} \\ e_6 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \\ e_8 = \{ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i> ₁	<i>e</i> ₂	<i>e</i> ₃	<i>e</i> ₄	<i>e</i> ₅	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₉
<i>Representative</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i> ₂	<i>e</i> ₂	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₄	<i>e</i> ₅	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₉

Lookup : $\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $b \rightarrow c$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ b = c \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ b = \{ \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \} \\ c = \{ \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4 \} \\ d = \{ \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_4 = \{ \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5 \} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} e_6 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \\ e_8 = \{ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	c	c	d	e ₂	e ₂	e ₇	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉

Lookup : $\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $b \rightarrow c$. Let's treat $\cdot(g, b) = e_2$. Since $Lookup(g', b') = Lookup(g, c) = e_3$ and $e'_3 \neq e'_2$, we add $e_7 = e_2$ to *Pending*.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ b = c \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ b = \{\cdot(g, b) = e_2\} \\ c = \{\cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4\} \\ d = \{\cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \\ e_4 = \{\cdot(h, e_4) = e_5\} \\ e_6 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7\} \\ e_8 = \{\cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7\} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	c	c	d	e ₂	e ₂	e ₇	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉

Lookup : $\{\cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $b \rightarrow c$. Let's treat $\cdot(g, b) = e_2$. Since $Lookup(g', b') = Lookup(g, c) = e_3$ and $e'_3 \neq e'_2$, we add $e_7 = e_2$ to *Pending*. Now, $Lookup(g, c) = e_7$ and add $\cdot(g, b) = e_2$ to *UseList*(c).

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_7 = e_2 \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{\cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2\} \\ e_6 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7\} \\ d = \{\cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \\ e_4 = \{\cdot(h, e_4) = e_5\} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} e_8 = \{\cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7\} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7	e_8	e_9
<i>Representative</i>	a	c	c	d	e_2	e_2	e_7	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7	e_8	e_9

Lookup : $\{\cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $e_2 \rightarrow e_7$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_7 = e_2 \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{ \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \} \\ e_6 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \\ d = \{ \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_4 = \{ \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5 \} \\ e_8 = \{ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	c	c	d	e ₇	e ₇	e ₇	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉

Lookup : $\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $e_2 \rightarrow e_7$. Again $UseList(e_2) = \emptyset$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_7 = e_2 \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{ \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \} \\ e_6 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \\ d = \{ \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_4 = \{ \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5 \} \\ e_8 = \{ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	c	c	d	e ₇	e ₇	e ₇	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉

Lookup : $\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $e_4 \rightarrow e_6$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{ \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \} \\ e_6 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \\ d = \{ \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_4 = \{ \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5 \} \\ e_8 = \{ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	c	c	d	e ₇	e ₇	e ₇	e ₆	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉

Lookup : $\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $e_4 \rightarrow e_6$. Let's treat $\cdot(h, e_4) = e_5$. Since $Lookup(h', e'_4) = Lookup(h, e_6) = \emptyset$, just add $Lookup(h, e_6) = e_5$ to $Lookup$ and $\cdot(h, e_4)$ to $UseList(e_6)$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{\cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2\} \\ e_6 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7\} \\ d = \{\cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \\ e_4 = \{\cdot(h, e_4) = e_5\} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} e_8 = \{\cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7\} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i> ₁	<i>e</i> ₂	<i>e</i> ₃	<i>e</i> ₄	<i>e</i> ₅	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₉
<i>Representative</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₅	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₉

Lookup : $\{\cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $e_4 \rightarrow e_6$. Let's treat $\cdot(h, e_4) = e_5$. Since $Lookup(h', e'_4) = Lookup(h, e_6) = \emptyset$, just add $Lookup(h, e_6) = e_5$ to $Lookup$ and $\cdot(h, e_4)$ to $UseList(e_6)$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_4 = e_6 \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{\cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2\} \\ e_6 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5\} \quad e_8 = \{\cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \\ d = \{\cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \quad e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7\} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i> ₁	<i>e</i> ₂	<i>e</i> ₃	<i>e</i> ₄	<i>e</i> ₅	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₉
<i>Representative</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₅	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₉

Lookup : $\{\cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5\}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $e_9 \rightarrow e_7$ (and not the other way around). Again $UseList(e_9) = \emptyset$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_9 = e_2 \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{ \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \} \\ e_6 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5 \} \quad e_8 = \{ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ d = \{ \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \quad e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	c	c	d	e ₇	e ₇	e ₇	e ₆	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₇

Lookup : $\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5 \}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $d \rightarrow e_6$ (and not the other way around).

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{ \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \} \\ e_6 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5 \} \quad e_8 = \{ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ d = \{ \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \quad e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	c	c	e ₆	e ₇	e ₇	e ₇	e ₆	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₇

Lookup : $\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5 \}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $d \rightarrow e_6$ (and not the other way around). Let's treat $\cdot(h, d) = e_8$. Since $Lookup(h', d') = Lookup(h, e_6) = e_5$ and $e'_8 \neq e'_5$, add $e_5 = e_8$ to *Pending*. We also add $\cdot(h, d) = e_8$ to *UseList*(e_6).

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_6 = d \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{\cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2\} \\ e_6 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5\} \quad e_8 = \{\cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \\ d = \{\cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \quad e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7\} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	c	c	e ₆	e ₇	e ₇	e ₇	e ₆	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₇

Lookup : $\{\cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5\}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $d \rightarrow e_6$ (and not the other way around). Let's treat $\cdot(h, d) = e_8$. Since $Lookup(h', d') = Lookup(h, e_6) = e_5$ and $e'_8 \neq e'_5$, add $e_5 = e_8$ to *Pending*. We also add $\cdot(h, d) = e_8$ to *UseList*(e_6).

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_5 = e_8 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{\cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2\} \\ e_6 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5, \cdot(h, d) = e_8\} \\ d = \{\cdot(h, d) = e_8\} \quad e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7\} \quad e_8 = \{\cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	c	c	e ₆	e ₇	e ₇	e ₇	e ₆	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₇

Lookup : $\{\cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5\}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $d \rightarrow e_6$ (and not the other way around). Let's treat $\cdot(h, d) = e_8$. Since $Lookup(h', d') = Lookup(h, e_6) = e_5$ and $e'_8 \neq e'_5$, add $e_5 = e_8$ to *Pending*. We also add $\cdot(h, d) = e_8$ to *UseList*(e_6).

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_5 = e_8 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{\cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2\} \\ e_6 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7\} \quad e_8 = \{\cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	a	b	c	d	e ₁	e ₂	e ₃	e ₄	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₉
<i>Representative</i>	a	c	c	e ₆	e ₇	e ₇	e ₇	e ₆	e ₅	e ₆	e ₇	e ₈	e ₇

Lookup : $\{\cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5, \cdot(e_8, e_6) = e_9\}$

Running example (contd.)

In normal form: $e_5 \rightarrow e_8$. Let's treat $\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7$. Since $Lookup(e'_5, e'_6) = Lookup(e_8, e_6) = e_9$, we should add $e'_7 = e'_9$, but it is discarded because it already holds.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \\ e_5 = e_8 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{\cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2\} \\ e_6 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{\cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7\} \quad e_8 = \{\cdot(e_8, d) = e_9\} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i> ₁	<i>e</i> ₂	<i>e</i> ₃	<i>e</i> ₄	<i>e</i> ₅	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₉
<i>Representative</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₇

Lookup : $\{\cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5, \cdot(e_8, e_6) = e_9\}$

Running example (contd.)

Now, we could ask whether $g(a) = h(d, d)$ holds. After curryfing and flattening, the question is whether $e_6 = e_9$, which is false. On the other hand, we can check that $g(c) = h(f(b), d)$ because it is equivalent to $e_3 = e_9$, which is obviously true.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{Pending} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{l} \textit{UseList} \\ c = \{ \cdot(g, c) = e_3, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(g, b) = e_2 \} \\ e_6 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \\ e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_9 = \emptyset \\ e_5 = \{ \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7 \} \quad e_8 = \{ \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9 \} \end{array} \right]$$

<i>Constant</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i> ₁	<i>e</i> ₂	<i>e</i> ₃	<i>e</i> ₄	<i>e</i> ₅	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₉
<i>Representative</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₆	<i>e</i> ₇	<i>e</i> ₈	<i>e</i> ₇

Lookup : $\{ \cdot(f, a) = e_1, \cdot(g, b) = e_2, \cdot(g, c) = e_7, \cdot(f, c) = e_4, \cdot(h, e_4) = e_5, \cdot(g, a) = e_6, \cdot(e_5, e_6) = e_7, \cdot(h, d) = e_8, \cdot(e_8, d) = e_9, \cdot(h, e_6) = e_5, \cdot(e_8, e_6) = e_9 \}$

Analysis of the algorithm

$O(n \log n)$ time and linear space:

- assume k different constants (usually, $k \ll n$)
- each ct changes representative at most $\log k$ times
- maintenance *rep* and *ClassList*: $k \log k$
- maintenance *Lookup* and *UseList*: $2n \log k$

Correctness:

- Let *RepresentativeE* be the non-trivial eqs $a = a'$ and $\cdot(a', b') = c'$ where a, b and c cts in E_0 and c is $Lookup(a', b')$.
- Note: final *RepresentativeE* is the resulting closure (a convergent TRS)
- Key invariant: $(RepresentativeE \cup Pending)^* = E_0^*$

Integer Offsets

- Bryant et al. add interpreted **succ** and **pred** symbols, extending **EUF** to **CLU** logic.
- The syntax is now the following one:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{formula} ::= & \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{predicateSymbol}(\text{term}, \dots, \text{term}) \\ & \mid \neg \text{formula} \mid (\text{formula} \vee \text{formula}) \mid (\text{formula} \wedge \text{formula}) \\ & \mid (\text{term} = \text{term}) \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \text{int_term} ::= & \text{functionSymbol}(\text{int_term}, \dots, \text{int_term}) \\ & \mid \text{ite}(\text{formula}, \text{int_term}, \text{int_term}) \\ & \mid \text{succ}(\text{int_term}) \mid \text{pred}(\text{int_term}) \end{aligned}$$

- Note that all non-boolean terms are interpreted over the integers

Integer Offsets (contd.)

- write (sub)terms $\underbrace{\text{succ}(\dots \text{succ}(t) \dots)}_{k \text{ times}}$ as $t + k$
 same with negative k for $\underbrace{\text{pred}(\dots \text{pred}(t) \dots)}_{k \text{ times}}$
- Example: $f(a) = c \wedge f(b+1) = c+1 \wedge a-1 = b$
 Note that now E_0 can be unsatisfiable.

■

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 a + 2 & = & b - 3 \\
 b - 5 & = & c + 7 \\
 c & = & d - 4
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{is} \quad
 \begin{array}{rcl}
 a & = & b - 5 \\
 b & = & c + 12 \\
 c & = & d - 4
 \end{array}$$

An infinite number of classes, the ones of $\dots, b-1, b, b+1, \dots$
 can be represented by: $\{ \mathbf{b = a + 5 = c + 12 = d + 8} \}$

Integer Offsets (contd.)

- Can assume input equations of the form $a = b + k$ or of the form $\cdot(a, b + k_b) = c + k_c$ (not hard to see)
- **Pending** now contains eqs like $a = b + k$
- **Representative(a)** returns pair (b, k) such that $b = a + k$
- Similarly for **Class lists**, **Lookup table**, and **Use lists**.
- Obtain algorithm with same complexity!

BUT

If also atoms $s > t$ are allowed in (positive conjunction) input then satisfiability becomes **NP-hard** (reduce k -coloring, see paper for details).

CC: our algorithm with offsets

```
While  $Pending \neq \emptyset$  Do
  remove  $a = b + k$  with representative  $a' = b' + k_{b'}$  from  $Pending$ 
  If  $a' \neq b'$  and, wlog.,  $|ClassList(a')| \leq |ClassList(b')|$  Then
    For each  $c + k_c$  in  $ClassList(a')$  Do
      set  $rep(c)$  to  $(b', k_c - k_{b'})$  and add it to  $ClassList(b')$ 
    EndFor
    For each  $\cdot(c, d + k_d) = e + k_e$  in  $UseList(a')$  Do
      If  $Lookup(c', r(d + k_d))$  is  $f + k_f$  and  $r(f + k_f) \neq r(e + k_e)$  Then
        add  $e = f + (k_f - k_e)$  to  $Pending$ 
      EndIf
      set  $Lookup(c', r(d + k_d))$  to  $r(e + k_e)$ 
      add  $\cdot(c, d + k_d) = e + k_e$  to  $UseList(b')$ 
    EndFor
  ElseIf  $a' = b'$  and  $k_{b'} \neq 0$  Then return unsatisfiable
  EndIf
EndWhile
```

CC-Ineq is NP-hard

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, where $V = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and $E = \{(b_1, b'_1), \dots, (b_m, b'_m)\}$ and an integer k , the following CC-Ineq formula is satisfiable if and only if G is k -colorable:

$$G(c + 1, c + 1) = G(c + 2, c + 2) = \dots = G(c + k, c + k) = \text{true}$$

$$\begin{array}{llll} c + k + 1 > f(a_1) > c & \text{true} > G(f(b_1), f(b'_1)) \\ c + k + 1 > f(a_2) > c & \text{true} > G(f(b_2), f(b'_2)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c + k + 1 > f(a_n) > c & \text{true} > G(f(b_m), f(b'_m)) \end{array}$$

Intuitively, f represents the colour of each vertex (k possibilities), and G is used to express that no two adjacent vertices will have the same colour.