# 22C:199 Lecture 6

Scribe: Ganesh Venkataraman

10th September 2003

#### Chebyshev's Inequality

$$Pr[|X - E[X]| \ge t] \le \frac{var[X]}{t^2} \tag{1}$$

Chebyshev's inequality is an example of a concentration result. The Chernoff-Hoeffding bounds that we will come up later are much stronger. We shall look at two applications of the Chebyshev's inequality:

- 1 Second moment method in number theory
- 2 Randomized selection algorithm

#### Application 1

Consider the set  $\{2, 6, 9, 10\}$  and consider the 16 possible subsets. We claim that all the subsets have distinct sums. The above example can be generalized and stated as a problem below:

**Problem:** What is the size of the largest subset  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots n\}$  that has all distinct sums? For any subset A of integers, let

$$s(A) = \sum_{x \in A} x \tag{2}$$

$$S(A) = \{s(X)|X \subseteq A\} \tag{3}$$

A is said to have all distinct sums if  $|S(A)| = 2^{|A|}$ . More precisely, we are looking for a natural number n such that there is a  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots n\}$  of size f(n) that has all distinct sums, but there is no larger subset with this property. It is easy to see that  $log_2n$  is an easy lower bound since the set  $S = \{2^0, 2^1, \ldots 2^{log_2n}\}$  has all distinct sums.

## Upper Bound

Suppose the largest subset size is k. Clearly  $2^k < kn$ . Using this and the fact that k < n, we get the following bound:

$$f(n) < log_2 n + log_2(log_2 n) + 1 \tag{4}$$

An open problem (with a fair amount of money involved, courtesy Erdos) is whether  $f(n) < log_2 n + O(1)$ .

By using Chebyshev's inequality, we now prove the following theorem. (All logarithms are to the base 2 unless otherwise specified)

### Theorem:

$$f(n) < log(n) + \frac{1}{2} * log(log(n)) + O(1)$$
 (5)

**Proof:** Fix a subset  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots a_k\}$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots n\}$  that has all distinct sums. Let  $X_1, X_2 \dots X_k$  be independent random variables with  $Pr[X_i = 1] = Pr[X_i = 0] = \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i X_i$ . Note that all distinct sums of  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots a_k\}$  can be generated using this. The probability space contains all distinct sums of  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots a_k\}$  of size  $2^k$ . Each point is generated with probability  $\frac{1}{2^k}$ .

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i E[X_i] = \frac{1}{2} * \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i$$
(6)

Our objective now is to compute the variance.

$$(E[X])^2 = \frac{1}{4} (\sum_{i=1}^k a_i)^2 \tag{7}$$

$$E[X^2] = E[2\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le k} a_i X_i a_j X_j + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 X_i^2]$$
(8)

$$\Rightarrow E[X^2] = \frac{1}{2} * \sum_{1 < i < j < k} a_i a_j + \frac{1}{2} * \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2$$
(9)

$$\Rightarrow var[X] = \frac{1}{4} * \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^2 \le \frac{n^2 k}{4}$$
 (10)

Denoting var[X] by  $\sigma$ , we get  $\sigma \leq \frac{n\sqrt{k}}{2}$ . Hence by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$Pr[|X - E[X]| \ge n\sqrt(k)] \le \frac{n^2k/4}{n^2k} = \frac{1}{4}$$
 (11)

From the above inequality, we conclude that at least  $3/4 * 2^k$  sums are contained in the range

$$(E[X] - n\sqrt(k), E[X] + n\sqrt(k)).$$

Since at most  $2n\sqrt(k)$  integer sums can lie in this range we have the inequality

$$\frac{3}{4} * 2^k < 2n\sqrt(k).$$

Solving this for k in terms of n, we get the bound claimed in the theorem.

## **Application 2: SELECTION**

**Input** Sequence S of n integers and an integer  $1 \le k \le n$ 

Output  $k^{th}$  largest element in S

There exists a deterministic linear time algorithm that does this. However, the algorithm is seldom used in practice since the constants hidden inside the "big Oh" expression are high. We describe a randomized algorithm which has the same expected run-time, but is simpler to implement and is makes fewer pairwise comparisons. **Lazy Sort** 

1 Pick  $n^{3/4}$  elements from S independently and uniformly at random with replacement into R.

- 2 Sort R. Let  $R_l$  denote the  $l^{th}$  smallest element in R. Let  $r_s(q)$  denote the rank of an element q in set S.
- 3 Let  $x = kn^{-1/4}$ ,  $l = \max\{\lfloor x \sqrt{n}\rfloor, 1\}$ ,  $h = \min\{\lceil x + \sqrt{n}\rceil, n^{3/4}\}$ ,  $a = R_l$  and  $b = R_h$ . By comparing every element in S with a determine  $r_s(a)$ . Similarly determine  $r_s(b)$ .

$$\begin{array}{l} 4 \ \ \text{If} \ k < n^{1/4}, \ \text{then} \ P = \{y \in S \mid y \leq b\}. \\ \text{If} \ k > n - n^{1/4}, \ \text{then} \ P = \{y \in S \mid y \geq a\}. \\ \text{If} \ k \in [n^{1/4}, n - n^{1/4}], \ \text{then} \ P = \{y \in S \mid a \leq y \leq b\} \end{array}$$

- 5 Check if  $S_k \in P$  and  $|p| \le 4n^{3/4} + 2$  otherwise repeat [1] to [3].
- 6 Sort P and return  $P_{(k-r_s(a)+1)}$ .

We shall analyze the expected run-time of the above algorithm using Chebyshev's inequality.